

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/502,060	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SENSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1732	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Suzin Bailey.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*those of record*

Claims discussed:  
*those pending*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*That cited.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Claim 30 depended from canceled claim 29. Approval for an Exr. Amdt. to change the dependency of claim 30 to claim 28 was given by Ms. Bailey.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)